

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/754,561 01/12/2004 Masato Yoshida XA-9379A 8219 **EXAMINER** 181 7590 03/08/2005 MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC JOYCE, WILLIAM C 1751 PINNACLE DRIVE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 500 MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833 3682

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|--|

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/754,561	YOSHIDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William C. Joyce	3682	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** 

# A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{3}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

after SIX - If the per - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  o reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	
2a)□ TI 3)□ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 12 January 2004.  his action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is osed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition	n of Claims
4a 5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	laim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the application.  a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  laim(s) is/are allowed.  laim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected.  laim(s) is/are objected to.  laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application	n Papers
10)□ Th Al R	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  The pplicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  The pelacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) are oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119
a)⊠ 1. 2. 3.	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/695,906.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  The attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
2) Notice of	1)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Ition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (P1O-152)  10(s)/Mail Date 1/12/04.  6) Other:

Art Unit: 3682

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the First Office Action in response to the above identified patent application filed on January 12, 2004.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "... traction oil ejected from said nozzle holes is sprayed only onto said discs, at positions circumferentially spaced from positions at which said inner surfaces contact with said peripheral surfaces of said power rollers" is new matter because the original disclosure does not disclose the claimed feature. It is acknowledged that the holes are arranged to spray oil on the discs at positions circumferentially spaced from positions at which said inner surfaces contact with said peripheral surfaces of said power rollers, however the disclosure does not teach "traction oil ejected from said nozzle holes is sprayed only onto said discs." Referring to Figure 4, it is understood the traction oil is sprayed onto the portion of the discs as

Application/Control Number: 10/754,561

Art Unit: 3682

illustrated by the cross-hatching, but the disclosure does not clearly teach the above described limitation. Accordingly, applicant must remove this limitation from the claim or identify the portion of the disclosure which supports this limitation.

#### Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 2 and 9 of U. S. Patent No. 6,682,457 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: nozzles holes for spraying traction oil onto the discs.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of

Application/Control Number: 10/754,561 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 4 would be allowable if applicant overcomes the double patenting rejection set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (703) 305-5114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C. Joyce 3/6/05